UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,859	01/09/2006	Andreas Lamkemeyer	P70987US0	4097
	7590 01/24/201 OLMAN PLLC	EXAMINER		
	STREET N.W.	TAWFIK, SAMEH		
	SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/563,859	LAMKEMEYER, ANDREAS	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sameh H. Tawfik	3721	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 M.</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 and 16-25 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-20 is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,16,17 and 21-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transformation and the correction is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary _ Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 16, 17, and 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boger et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,687,137) in view of Miller (U.S. Patent No. 5,609,711) and further in view of Focke et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,463,716).

Boger discloses the claimed invention, see for example Figs. 1, 2, 4, and 6; via adhesive champers 107, discharge openings 60 with a diameter of at least 5mm, nozzle 40 and 52, valves 70, 72, 74, 76, and 80; application head 20; the gluing stations including glue outlets which can be fed selectively such that a format of the applied glue is defined by selection of the glue outlets, see for example (Figs. 1-6; via controlling dispensing valves 70, 72, 74, 76, 78, and 80); the glue feed including at least one chamber extends linearly in a spatial direction perpendicular to a transport direction of the bag, with a rectangular cross-section, through which at least one part of the valves is fed with the glue and at least one glue supplying line which extends to the application head, see for example (Figs. 4-6; via adhesive chamber 107). It appears that Boger's adhesive dispensing apparatus is capable of dispensing whatever kind of glue as the claimed structure limitations been fully disclosed by Boger.

Boger does not disclose the use of starch glue. However, Miller discloses a similar device with the use of dispensing starch glue, see for example (Fig. 3; via adhesive applicator device 28).

Page 3

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Boger's device by dispensing starch glue, as suggested by Miller, in order to provide a starch adhesive system will minimize transfer of water to the plies of material being joined (column 4, lines 46-48).

Boger neither disclose the exact chamber's diameter of at least 5mm, 7mm, 10mm, and/or 15mm, nor a volume of the chamber has a ratio of at least 1.5 to a volume sum of all of the glue connections to and from the valves which are supplied with the glue from the chamber.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Boger's chamber's diameter to be 5mm, 7mm, 10mm, and/or 15mm, and a volume of the chamber has a ratio of at least 1.5 to a volume sum of all of the glue connections to and from the valves which are supplied with the glue from the chamber since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ (CCPA 1980).

Boger in view of Miller lack the teaching of having the glue chamber/head to be displaceable in a direction extending perpendicular to a transport direction of the bag and in a plane parallel to a plane in which the bag lies during transport. However, Focke discloses a similar device with the use of displaceable/moving glue dispensing, see for example (Figs. 1-8; via glue nozzle 14). Note, the exact movement/displacing to be in a direction extending perpendicular to a transport direction of the bag and in a plane parallel to a plane in which the

bag lies during transport would be nothing more an engineering design choice to control the movement of the application head in specific/assigned directions, in order to assure fixed pattern of dispensing the glue to the bag.

Further, in the filed specification; page 5, lines 2 and 3; "the application head <u>can also be</u> <u>arranged</u> such that it can be displaced on the tube." the claimed displacing of the application head on the tube is not the focus of the invention as been supported by the filed specification it can or can't be arranged to be displaced on the Tube.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Boger's glue head (head 20) to be displaceable/moveable along the glue supply line, as suggested by Focke, in order to improve the reliable operation of glue dispensing (column 1, lines 26-29). As to the exact movement/displacing to be in a direction extending perpendicular to a transport direction of the bag and in a plane parallel to a plane in which the bag lies during transport would be nothing more an engineering design choice to control the movement of the application head in specific/assigned directions, in order to assure fixed pattern of dispensing the glue to the bag.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Boger's glue head to be displaceable/moveable along the glue supply line, since it has been held that making an old device portable or movable without producing any new and unexpected result involves only routine skill in the art. In re Lindberg, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA 1952).

Regarding claim 7: Boger discloses that two of the valves supplying the glue from the chamber are arranged in an overlapping manner, see for example (Figs. 4 and 5).

Application/Control Number: 10/563,859 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 22 and 23: Boger discloses that the glue supplying line includes boreholes or opening in a region of the application head (Figs. 1-4); Wherein the chamber is a borehole that is provided in the application head (Fig. 4; via chamber 107).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721